IN ATTENDANCE Ms N L Mkhonza Ms T Mogapi Ms I Muedi Mr M D Matlala Ms T Tshimomola Ms K C Malakalaka	<u>APOLOGIES</u> Ms J Mhlophe Mr A Pretorius Ms L Nkgadime	<u>PRESENT</u> Dr J Jaftha (Chairperson) Mr B Durham Ms W P Mandivenyi Dr G Bouwer Ms E Koekemoer
Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (secretariat) (DAFF) Department of Health (DoH) Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA)	Department of Labour (DoL) Department of Health (DoH) Department of Science and Technology (DST)	Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) Department of Science and Technology (DST) Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) Chairperson of the Advisory Committee (AC) under the GMO Act, 1997 Department of Trade and Industry (the dti)

NO.	SUBJECT	RESOLUTION	
<u>-</u>	OPENING AND WELCOME	<b>OPENING AND WELCOME</b> The Chairperson welcomed those present at the EC meeting.	EC meeting.
2	ATTENDANCE REGISTER AND APOLOGIES	ATTENDANCE REGISTER The attendance register was circulated to everyone pres	ne present for signature.
မ	ADDITIONS TO AND ADOPTION OF THE	The agenda was adopted with the following additions.	ons.

	5.N	<b>4 7 7</b>		NO.
(b) Asynchronous approvals	Commodities (Registrar) (a) SABS standard	APPROVAL OF THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS OF 25 OCTOBER 2011 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE PROCEEDINGS Feedback on appeals lodged under the GMO Act (DAFF)		SUBJECT
()	(i)	(i) The record	7.6. ICGEB 7.7. ASSAF	RESO
There was no discussion	There was no discussion	<ul> <li>approved.</li> <li>(i) The EC was informed that the appeal board chairperson had indicated that there was still one area on which further consultation was needed with the appeal board members.</li> </ul>	SAF	RESOLUTION
DAFF	DAFF	DAFF		FOR ATTENTION

NO.	SUBJECT	RES	RESOLUTION	FOR ATTENTION
	(c) Permit conditions	(ii)	as no discussion	OoR
ა	GM threshold vs. adventitious presence (DAFF)	Ξ	The EC was <b>reminded</b> about the GM threshold and adventitious presence meeting held on the 30 September 2011. The discussion focused on the draft risk management matrix for low level presence of Living Modified Organisms (LMOs). The finalization of the guidance document that will correspond to the draft risk matrix is still in process.	DAFF
თ .თ	Pioneer 17/3/1-Pioneer - 09/383:Commodity clearance of maize 98140 (OoR)	()	The EC <b>noted</b> the applicant was previously requested to submit additional information. A response was received from the applicant. The OoR is still awaiting additional copies of the application from the applicant.	OoR
5.7	Departmental representation(DAFF)	(i)	The EC was <b>informed</b> that the work regarding the departmental representation on the EC following the recent government restructuring is concluded. The legal opinion clarifies that if ever there are issues of capacity within the EC, the EC can co-opt additional members to the Council, bearing in mind that the maximum number of members to serve on the Council is 10.	DAFF
5.8 8	Terms of Reference for the Advisory Committee (DAFF)	(i)	The EC was <b>informed</b> that the document will serve as part of development for future AC members and will form part of the agenda for the general meeting that will address the EC's technical and operational issues. The EC <b>recommended</b> that this item be removed from the agenda.	DAFF
5.9	General release application form (AC Chair)	Ξ	The EC <b>noted</b> the inputs that the AC Chair received from DST and DEA on the general release application form. The inputs will be incorporated in the document and the final version will be sent to the OoR.	AC Chair

လ

NO.	SUBJECT	RESOLUTION
5.10	Risk benefit analysis	was informed about a publication by [
	Morris (AC Chair)	Ö
		proposed in the publication is one of various alternative approaches to RBA and that its application would have to be justified. The EC <b>noted</b> that it could be useful for screening applications presenting birth levels of
		it could be useful for screening applications presenting high levels of uncertainty.
5.11	National Report (DEA)	(i) The EC was <b>informed</b> that the National Report on the Implementation of DEA the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety is on the website
		the Cartagena Protocol on Blosatety is on the website.
<u>ල</u>	APPLICATIONS TO BE	
	CONSIDERED BY EC	
	(REGISTRAR)	
	TIME EXTENSIONS,	
	AMENDMENTS TO	
	(EXISTING) PERMITS, IMPORT AND EXPORTS	
	General release	
6.1	Monsanto's request to	(i)The EC noted that DAFF has not responded to the applicant on condition
	amend general release	5a as well as 6a. The OoR had a discussion with the EC Chair and they
	permit conditions 1, 5 (a), 10 (a), and 13	needed guidance from DEA in terms of the 3 year study that is referred to in the conditions.
	(MON89034)	
		(II) The EC was informed that DEA had an engagement with Monsanto on the study. The EC recommended that only one study is required from the applicant.
		(iii) On permit condition 13, the EC recommended that Monsanto should seek
		synchronous approvals on MON89034.
6.2	Monsanto's request to	(i) Permit conditions 6 (a) and 14 are similar to permit conditions 5a and 13

6.6 S P C	ar - 2 C ar s	6.4 Mc cles cles 2.1	6.3 -1 ре се во Со	NO. ap
Commodity Clearance Permit conditions - SANSOR	Syngenta's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions 1.3 (a-d), 1.4, 1.6, 1.7, and 1.8 (a-c), 1.10 and 2.1	Monsanto's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions: 1.3 (a-d), 1.4, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 (a-c), 1.10 and 2.1	<u>Commodity clearance</u> Bayer's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions 1.6 and 1.10	subject amend general release permit conditions 6 (a) and 14 (MON89034 x NK603)
(i) There was no discussion	<ul> <li>(i) The EC noted Syngenta's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions 1.3 (a-d), 1.4, 1.6, 1.7, and 1.8 (a-c), 1.10 and 2.1. The decision is pending.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The EC noted Monsanto's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions 1.3 (a-d), 1.4, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 (a-c), 1.10 and 2.1. The decision is pending.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The EC noted Bayer's request to amend commodity clearance permit conditions 1.6 and 1.10. The decision is pending.</li> </ul>	RESOLUTION respectively. The EC recommendations on agenda item 6.1 also apply for item 6.2.
EC	Ë	πĊ	EC	FOR ATTENTION

S

NO.	SUBJECT	RESOLUTION	FOR ATTENTION
6.7	's opinion	(i) The EC noted Monsanto's request for commodity clearance approval of	
	MON89034 X MON88017	must be subjected to a safety assessment as per the GMO Act. Monsanto is	пС
		therefore required to submit a separate application for the MON89034 X MON88017 event.	
	New applications.	None	
	Extension of trial release.		
	contained use (and import and/or export)		
	<u>Contained use (and import</u> and/or export)		
	Trial release and import and/or export		
	General release		
	Commodity clearance		

#### 7.1 **Z**0. 7.2 7.4 7.3 developments (All) SUBJECT Cartagena Protocol (DEA) (a) (a) AC ap renewals (Registrar) appointment policy (i) The EC was informed that the bioeconomy strategy was still under RESOLUTION Ξ Ξ None development. economic issues. have developed regulatory guidelines for addressing socio-economic issues. The DEA suggested that EC should participate in online discussion on sociofocused on socio-economic issues. It was highlighted that Norway and France The EC was informed about a workshop that DST attended in India which The EC was informed that the submission was sent to the Minister DST DEA FOR ATTENTION OoR

N O V	SUBJECT	RESOLUTION	FOR ATTENTION
7.6	ICGEB Report	(i) The EC was informed about a workshop that DST attended in Rwanda in November 2011, entitled: key elements in effect of GMO regulations. One of the objectives was a model regulatory system. A consensus document on a regulatory framework for biosafety was developed, which is more progressive than the African model law but less detailed. ICGEB is in the process of transforming the document into a pamphlet.	DST
7.7	Academy of Science for South Africa (ASSAF)	(i) The EC was reminded about the study on Biosafety and Biosecurity in South Africa that the Academy of Science for South Africa (ASSAF) approached DST to find out whether government would be interested. Some of the EC members met with ASSAF to find out what would be interesting within their scope. ASSAF will give feedback on the responses they received with regards to the proposed study	DST
<u>.</u> 8	Date of the next meeting	(i) The date for the next meeting is scheduled for 20 March 2012.	All
9	Closure	(i) The meeting was concluded at 13:30	

Approved by Chairperson

<u>Dr J Jaftha</u>

Signature 

Approved by Registrar

<u> Ms NL Mkhonza</u>

Signature .....